

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,765	02/27/2004	Ogden Hadzizukic	1139-003C1	1900	
25215	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC 29 W LAWRENCE ST			FASTOVSKY	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
SUITE 210	ATCE DI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PONTIAC, MI 48342			3742		

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/789,765	HADZIZUKIC ET	AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.	
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ma</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□	Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers		•		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)	•	·		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda et al in view of Steuart et al and further in view of Masubuchi (6,472,442) and Hasegawa et al (5,550,190).

Noda teaches a steering wheel 3, comprising a core 4, an outer covering 21, a heater 7 disposed between the core and the outer covering, plurality of protrusions corresponding to spokes 2, cushion 5 made out of a polyurethane, a separator 12 made out of a polyester, and a heater wire-conductor 13 between the cushion and the separator. However, he does not disclose the heater cushion's and separator's elongation capabilities.

Steuart discloses a steering wheel heater having a heating element 6 being of a pattern configuration that is "inherently resiliently elongatable" (Fig. 1,4 and col. 2, lines 90-107).

Further, Masubuchi discloses a steering wheel having a rim part 14 covered by polyurethane elastomer 22 that can elongate up to 800% (Abstract, Fig.1, col. 7, lines 15-18)

Art Unit: 3742

In addition, Hasegawa discloses polyester used in a steering wheel or handle with excellent tensile elongation (Abstract, col. 11, lines 44-47).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Noda's invention to include a cushion as taught by Masubuchi and a separator as taught by Hasegawa in order to permit elongation of the cushion and the separator at least 50%, and the heater as taught by Steuart that can inherently elongate. While Steuart does not explicitly teach 15% elongation, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to arrive at this % without undue experimentation.

2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa and further in view of Kurata et al.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose distinct zones. Kurata shows two distinct zones (Col. 5, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa to use distinct zones as taught by Kurata and increase their number to three zones for redundancy in case one or two zones are damaged.

3. Claims 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Masubuchi and Hasegawa and further in view of Sugiyama et al and Nagai (6,808,825).

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a conductor made out of a plurality of

wire strands having a diameter between about 0.007 mm and 0.011 mm and the strands are formed of a metal alloy of copper about 1% and 10% nickel.

Sugiyama discloses a heater 21 for a steering wheel-handle 1 and a strand of wires 28 with a diameter of 0.05-0.10 mm and made of copper nickel alloy (col. 5, lines 29-39) without disclosing a percentage of materials in the composition of the alloy.

Nagai discloses a copper nickel alloy foil that can be used as a conductor having copper up to 1% and nickel up to 2.5% (col. 4, lines 5-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa to include the strands of heating wire as taught by Sugiyama for better strength and in a composition as taught by Nagai as an obvious functional equivalent.

4. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa and further in view of Plummer et al (6,284,809).

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa discloses substantially the claimed invention including the cushion 5 that is closer to the core 4 than the separator 12 and the conductor 13, can be folded over on itself, but does not disclose a heat transfer coefficient. Plummer discloses a resin for a synthetic foam composition having a thermal conductivity less than 0,12 watts/m K (col. 2, lines 58-62), the resin comprise polyurethanes and polyesters (col. 3, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify

the invention of Nada in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa to include a conductor twisted at a connection of the heater 7 as an obvious design choice, and a thermal conductivity range as taught by Plummer as an obvious functional equivalent of a heat transfer coefficient.

- 5. Claims 29-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa and further in view of Haag. Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose that the cushion is laminated to the separator with an adhesive. Haag teaches a heated steering wheel with a heating element 28, wherein the cushion 24 is laminated to the separator 30 with an adhesive (col. 4, lines 40-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda, Masubuchi and Hasegawa to include an adhesive as taught by Haag in order to better secure the structure of the heated wheel.
- 6. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa and further in view of Kurata.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa discloses substantially the claimed invention including a heating element 6 having zig-zag configuration as disclosed by Steuart, a fleece layer corresponding to the cushion and the separator comprising polyurethane and polyester accordingly as disclosed by Noda, but does not disclose distinct zones. Kurata shows two distinct zones (Col. 5, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3742

to modify the invention of Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa to use distinct zones as taught by Kurata and increase their number to three zones for redundancy in case one or two zones are damaged.

7. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata and further in view of Sugiyama and Nagai.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a conductor made out of a plurality of wire strands having a diameter between about 0.007 mm and 0.011 mm and the strands are formed of a metal alloy of copper about 1% and 10% nickel.

Sugiyama discloses a heater 21 for a steering wheel-handle 1 and a strand of wires 28 with a diameter of 0.05-0.10 mm and made of copper nickel alloy (col. 5, lines 29-39) without disclosing a percentage of materials in the composition of the alloy.

Nagai discloses a copper nickel alloy foil that can be used as a conductor having copper up to 1% and nickel up to 2.5% (col. 4, lines 5-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata to include the strands of heating wire as taught by Sugiyama for better strength and in a composition as taught by Nagai as an obvious functional equivalent.

Art Unit: 3742

8. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata and further in view of Plummer.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata discloses substantially the claimed invention including the cushion 5 that is closer to the core 4 than the separator 12, but does not disclose a heat transfer coefficient. Plummer discloses a resin for a synthetic foam composition having a thermal conductivity less than 0,12 watts/m K (col. 2, lines 58-62) that corresponds to a heat transfer coefficient, the resin comprise polyurethanes and polyesters (col. 3, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Nada in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata to include a thermal conductivity range as taught by Plummer in order to operate the heated steering wheel without using an additional power.

9. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata and further in view of Haag.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose that the cushion is laminated to the separator with an adhesive. Haag teaches a heated steering wheel with a heating element 28, wherein the cushion 24 is laminated to the separator 30 with an adhesive (col. 4, lines 40-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda, Steuart,

Art Unit: 3742

8. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata and further in view of Plummer.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata discloses substantially the claimed invention including the cushion 5 that is closer to the core 4 than the separator 12, but does not disclose a heat transfer coefficient. Plummer discloses a resin for a synthetic foam composition having a thermal conductivity less than 0,12 watts/m K (col. 2, lines 58-62) that corresponds to a heat transfer coefficient, the resin comprise polyurethanes and polyesters (col. 3, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Nada in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata to include a thermal conductivity range as taught by Plummer in order to operate the heated steering wheel without using an additional power.

9. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata and further in view of Haag.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose that the cushion is laminated to the separator with an adhesive. Haag teaches a heated steering wheel with a heating element 28, wherein the cushion 24 is laminated to the separator 30 with an adhesive (col. 4, lines 40-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda, Steuart,

Art Unit: 3742

Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata to include an adhesive as taught by Haag in order to better secure the structure of the heated wheel

10. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa, Kurata and Plummer and further in view of Sugiyama and Nagai.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Kurata discloses substantially the claimed invention including a leather outer cover 21 disclosed by Noda, two distinct zones disclosed by Kurata (col. 5, lines 25-30), but does not discloses a heat transfer coefficient, three distinct zones, a conductor made out of a plurality of wire strands having a diameter between about 0.007 mm and 0.011 mm and the strands are formed of a metal alloy of copper about 1% and 10% nickel.

Plummer discloses a resin for a synthetic foam composition having a thermal conductivity less than 0,12 watts/m K (col. 2, lines 58-62) that corresponds to a heat transfer coefficient, the resin comprise polyurethanes and polyesters (col. 3, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Nada in view of Steuart, Masubuchi and Hasegawa to include a thermal conductivity range as taught by Plummer in order to operate the heated steering wheel without using an additional power

Sugiyama discloses a heater 21 for a steering wheel-handle 1 and a strand of wires 28 with a diameter of 0.05-0.10 mm and made of copper nickel alloy (col. 5, lines 29-39) without disclosing a percentage of materials in the composition of the alloy.

Art Unit: 3742

Nagai discloses a copper nickel alloy foil that can be used as a conductor having copper up to 1% and nickel up to 2.5% (col. 4, lines 5-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa and Plummer to increase the number of distinct zones to three instead of two as taught by Kurata for safety in case one or two zones are damaged, and also include the strands of heating wire as taught by Sugiyama for better strength and in a composition as taught by Nagai as an obvious functional equivalent.

11. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda, Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa, Kurata, Plummer, Sugiyama and Nagai and further in view of Haaq.

Noda in view of Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa, Kurata, Plummer, Sugiyama and Nagai discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose that the cushion is laminated to the separator with an adhesive and a two way tape. Haag teaches a heated steering wheel with a heating element 28, wherein the cushion 24 is laminated to the separator 30 with a double adhesive tape 25 (col. 4, lines 40-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Noda, Steuart, Masubuchi, Hasegawa, Kurata Plummer, Sugiyama and Nagai to include a double adhesive tape as taught by Haag in order to better secure the structure of the heated wheel.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner
Art Unit 3742

Imf

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER